Volume 3, Issue 50



Bet Horaah

Parshat Toldot

Zmanim for New York:

Candle Lighting: 4:11pm

Shabbat ends: 5:14pm

R"T 5:42pm

Heartfelt appreciation and blessings extend to our generous donor for his unwavering and continuous support. May he and his family merit a year filled with health, success, and sweetness.

THE PRICE OF WORDS: FORCED TO SPEAK, TRUE TO FAITH

WRITTEN BY RABBI SHAY TAHAN

*TO RECEIVE THE WEEKLY NEWSLETTER PLEASE EMAIL US AT ASKHALACHA 1 @GMAIL.COM

In the horrific riots in Amsterdam, there were inci- sacrifice, as it undermines the core of Jewish belief dents where terrorists forced Israeli boys to shout and loyalty to Hashem. "Free Palestine." Two contrasting responses were In addition, although we follow the opinion of the

Today, we examine the Torah's perspective on how considered avoda zara (idol worship), there are a few

one should act in such situations.

The halacha (y.d. 157, 2) rules that one may not declare that they worship idols, even to save their life. The reason is that by making such a statement, one gives verbal admission to something fundamentally prohibited, which constitutes a denial of Hashem and violates the essence of Jewish faith.

The Radbaz (4, 123) addressed the following question: if a person is co-

they comply? Islam, being monotheistic and devoid of er, if someone says they aren't Jewish for a different idol worship, complicates the discussion. On one reason, it is permitted. For example, if a person is in hand, the principle of ya'avor v'al yeihareg (transgress the hospital and believes they will receive better and do not be killed) allows one to violate most Torah treatment by claiming to be Muslim, this is permitted, prohibitions under duress, except for the three cardiates the intention is not to change their religion. nal sins—idolatry, murder, and illicit relationships where one must choose death over transgression. This leads us to the question: when the Israeli boys Based on this, one might argue that compliance is were forced to shout "Free Palestine," does this fall permissible since Islam does not constitute idolatry.

cations of denying Jewish faith or endorsing another cepting a new belief? ance to avoid harm.

while one may transgress most mitzvot (except the denial of faith or belief in Hashem. three cardinal sins) to save their life, one may not Moreover, anyone who studies history will quickly Hashem's religion. This, the Radbaz explains, is a pendence did not have local citizenship; they

recorded: one boy complied, while another refused. Rambam and Rashba, who maintain that Islam is not

rishonim who disagreed, arguing that it is. This includes the Ritva, the Eshkol, and the Ran. The Meiri also noted that many Sephardic sages held this view as well. According to those opinions, one should not admit to their religion, as doing so would be seen as acknowledging idol worship. It's important to note that Rav Elyash-

iv said (Ashrei Hayish y.d. page 92) that the above is true only if the person coercing is doing so with the in-

erced by Muslims to verbally accept their faith, must tent to change the individual's religious faith. Howev-

under the category of accepting their coercers' belief? On the other hand, one could argue that making such Would this be seen as a denial of their faith, or is it a declaration, even under coercion, might carry impli- merely a statement made under duress without ac-

belief system, which could render it akin to a severe Well, obviously, we can all understand the big differtransgression. The question remains whether this ence between the two cases. When a person says situation demands self-sacrifice or permits compli- "Palestine should be free," there is no contradiction to one's religious belief. Such a statement doesn't The Radbaz concludes, after a lengthy discussion, that inherently contradict Jewish religion, as it is not a

verbally declare an abandonment of Hashem's reli- realize that the concept of "Palestine" and a gion for another. By stating a belief in Islam under "Palestinian nation" is a modern construct. The Arab coercion, one violates the very essence of faith in villages that occupied Jewish land before Israel's indetransgression so fundamental that it requires self- belonged to either Jordan or were stateless.

TALACHA QUESTION Over 2,000 classes can be found at Rabbishaytahan.com Email: askhalacha1@gmail.com

Rabbi Shay Tahan

Starting New Smicha Program

Starting With Hilchot Nidah

Call Rabbi Avizov 347-938-4969

collel Shaarei Ezra Benei Yosef

THE PRICE OF WORDS: FORCED TO SPEAK, TRUE TO FAITH

free; no one is holding them in bondage.

Conclusion:

The "Palestinian" movement emerged years after the establish- In conclusion, although we are not allowed to accept a different ment of the State of Israel, created in opposition to it. Therefore, religion or declare a statement that contradicts our faith, one is saying "Palestine should be free" is meaningless, as there is no permitted to say "Palestine will be free." This statement does not actual entity called "Palestine." Furthermore, Arabs in Israel are conflict with Jewish belief, as it does not imply a rejection of our religion or an acceptance of another belief system. It is simply a political statement with no religious implication.

TRUTH BE TOLD: WHEN LIES SPEAK THE TRUTH

is blind and aging, intends to bless his eldest son Esav before his of Hashem. death. Rivkah, Yitzchak's wife, overhears and devises a plan for Yaa- The Torah teaches us that even Hashem altered the truth for the kov to receive the blessings instead.

and neck with goat skins to make him feel like his hairy brother. Yaakov then enters Yitzchak's tent, claiming to be Esav, and receives the blessings meant for his brother. Although Yitzchak is initially suspicious, he is ultimately convinced by the smell of Esav's clothes and the feel of Yaakov's disguised hands.

When Yitzchak asks Yaakov who he is, he responds, "I am Esav, your firstborn."

The episode raises ethical questions because

Yaakov deceives his father to receive the blessings. It also highlights them. This example is often cited in rabbinic literature to emphasize cially when the Torah explicitly forbids lying.

understands that such manipulation would not hold up in any Beit truth. Din or court. For example, if someone caught committing a crime The Sefer Emet LeYaakov provides an example to highlight this way.

famously called "a man of truth" (Ish Emet). How can this title align isn't here." This underscores that preserving life and fulfilling with behavior that appears so untruthful?

Rav Eliyahu Dessler (Michtav Me'Eliyahu) teaches a profound and cumstances. revolutionary concept. We often think that truth is defined as Rav Chaim Kanievsky (Masechet Kutim 30) adds that if one needs to stating things as they are in reality, while a lie is stating something change their words to fit Hashem's narrative, they should try to do contrary to reality. Rav Dessler challenges this notion, asserting that so by using expressions with dual meanings, if possible. For examthis definition is flawed. According to him, truth means saying ple, when Yaakov said, "I am Esav, your firstborn," this can be interthings that align with Hashem's will, even if they contradict reality. preted as "I am Yaakov, and Esav is your firstborn." This is not con-Conversely, a lie is saying things that do not align with Hashem's sidered lying, as we explained that altering facts is permitted in such will, even if they are factually correct.

With this new insight, we can understand many aspects of Torah ty as much as possible. teachings. Firstly, it resolves the question of how Yaakov could de- It is also important to note that the Torah's warning against lying him to do so, based on her understanding that this was might lie for reasons outside these contexts. Hashem's will. Rivkah had received a prophecy that Yaakov was

In Parashat Toldot, Yaakov takes the blessings from his father destined to receive the blessings, even if it meant taking them from Yitzchak through deception. This event happens when Yitzchak, who Esav. Accordingly, Yaakov's actions were justified as fulfilling the will

sake of peace in the episode involving Avraham, Sarah, and the an-Rivkah prepares a meal of Yitzchak's favorite dish, and she dresses nouncement of Yitzchak's birth (Bereshit 18). When Hashem in-Yaakov in Esav's clothes to disguise him. She also covers his hands forms Avraham that Sarah will bear a child, Sarah, overhearing this,

> laughs to herself and says, "After I have become old, shall I have pleasure, and my husband is old?" (Bereshit 18:12). However, when Hashem relays Sarah's reaction to Avraham, He says, "Why did Sarah laugh, saying, 'Shall I indeed bear a child now that I am old?"" (Bereshit 18:13).

> Hashem changes Sarah's words, omitting her reference to Avraham's age, to preserve peace and avoid causing tension between

the dilemma of how Yaakov was permitted to lie to his father, espe- the importance of maintaining harmony, even if it means altering the truth. However, based on the above explanation, Hashem is Rashi attempts to address this issue by suggesting that when Yaakov teaching us that this is not merely an alteration but actually the said, "I am Esav, your firstborn," he intended to divide the sentence ultimate truth. In such an instance, stating things as they are in realas follows: "I am"-referring to himself, Yaakov, who brought the ity, if it leads to discord, is considered a lie, while preserving peace food—and "Esav is your firstborn." However, anyone reading this aligns with Hashem's will and is therefore the true expression of

claimed, "I didn't commit the crime," and later explained that they point. Imagine a situation where someone is chasing another permeant, "I am [the one who committed the crime], and the victim son with the intent to kill, and you witness the victim hiding. When didn't commit a crime," this would not justify their actions in any the pursuer approaches and asks if you have seen the person they are chasing, what should you answer? In such a scenario, the true Why, then, is Yaakov allowed to do this? Furthermore, Yaakov is response, as aligned with Hashem's will, would be to say, "No, he Hashem's will takes precedence over factual accuracy in such cir-

scenarios. However, it is still correct to find ways to align with reali-

ceive Yitzchak and say things that were not true, such as "I am primarily applies to testimonies in a Beit Din or matters related to Esav." The answer lies in the fact that his mother, Rivkah, instructed financial dealings. It is not directed at situations where someone



BAMBA BLESSINGS: PUFFED CORN, PUFFED QUESTIONS

What is Bamba and what is Its Bracha?

Almost all of us grew up eating the Israeli staple snack called Bamba. Bamba is a popular snack made primarily from puffed corn, known for its light, airy texture and distinct flavor. It is considered a cultural icon in Israel, often associated with childhood memories even after its shape is altered, the bracha remains Ha'adama. and casual snacking. As we open the bag to start munching on it, very few of us stop to ask, "What bracha should I make?" Instead, edible in its raw state and cannot be consumed unless it is prowe typically say Shehakol and throw the first piece of Bamba into cessed into the Bamba snack. Therefore, the ultimate purpose of our mouths.

The question of the proper bracha (blessing) for Bamba has been discussed among halachic authorities. The two sons of Harav Ovadia Yosef disagreed on the principle of the halacha and also on what their father instructed to say.

The determination hinges on whether the corn ingredient, which undergoes the processes of puffing and grinding, affects its blessing. We should also note that the peanut flavor, though prominent, does not influence the halachic classification of the food. It is considered an enhancement rather than a defining feature of the snack.

In Yalkut Yosef (Orach Chaim 202:9), Harav Yitzchak Yosef, the previous Rishon Letsion rules that the bracha for Bamba is בורא פרי האדמה (Peri Ha'adama). He explains that the puffed corn

retains its halachic identity despite undergoing significant pro- THE VIEW OF HARAV DAVID YOSEF cessing. Since the corn remains the primary ingredient of the snack, it dictates the blessing.

The current Rishon Letsion, Harav David Yosef, in his sefer Halacha Berura (202;29), disagrees with the ruling of Yalkut Yosef. He emphasizes that the processing of the corn nullifies its halachic status, as the core ingredient changes form to the extent that it loses its original identity. Thus, the appropriate bracha before eating Bamba is **Shehakol**.

Let's try to further understand both opinions. The Shulchan Aruch (202, 7) speaks of dates that were crushed by hand until they completely lost their shape, yet it rules that despite the change in form, they still maintain their original bracha status. Therefore, one should recite Borei Pri Ha'etz when eating them. Of course, dates are just an example, and this ruling applies to all fruits or vegetables that undergo similar processing.

On the other hand, the Rema (Rabbi Moses Isserles) states that one should recite Shehakol on such foods. Therefore, according to Ashkenazim who follow the Rema's rulings, it seems that Bamba, which changes the shape of the corn, should require the bracha of Shehakol.

Thus, our discussion seems to be based on the opinion of the Shulchan Aruch and would primarily apply to Sephardim who follow his psakim. However, it would not be relevant to Ashkenazim, as they typically recite Shehakol when the shape of the food changes. Still, Harav Yitzchak Yosef notes that Bamba is unique, and even Ashkenazim should say Ha'adama. Let's explore why.

THE OPINION OF YALKUT YOSEF

The opinion of Yalkut Yosef is that Bamba follows the same halachic principles as dates. Although the shape of the corn has changed, it retains its original bracha. This is especially true when the corn is specifically grown for Bamba production; in such cases,

Harav Yitzchak Yosef argues that the corn grown for Bamba is not

this corn is specifically for Bamba, and its bracha should not change. Even the *Rema* spoke of cases like dates, which were edible as dates and later changed form. However, if the produce was not edible at all when it was grown, then its very first stage of becoming edible—through its transformation into Bamba-is when it first receives its status as food and its associated bracha.

He also recounts how his father, Chacham Ovadia Yosef, while sitting with his students, was offered Bamba and recited Ha'adama over it. Later, during Pesach, he gave Bamba to his young grandchildren (as kitniyot are permitted for Sephardim), ate from it himself, and again recited Ha'adama. He also instructed them to recite Ha'adama for Bam-



Harav David Yosef ruled that the bracha for Bamba is Shehakol because the corn undergoes processes of puffing, grinding, and reshaping into the form of Bamba, completely losing its original shape. Additionally, it no longer tastes like corn, further justifying that the bracha should be Shehakol.

He further explains that his father, Chacham Ovadia, instructed him to recite Shehakol on Bamba. Moreover, even if one considers the bracha to be doubtful, the general rule is to recite Shehakol whenever there is uncertainty regarding the proper bracha.

Opinions of Other Poskim

According to Harav Meir Mazuz, the bracha for Bamba is also Shehakol. This is explained by his esteemed student, Harav Moshe Levi (Sefer Birkat Hashem 7:36), who reasons that not only is the corn significantly altered through processing, but the new shape is also combined with peanut flavorings, resulting in an entirely new product that is vastly different from its original form.

The renowned scholar Harav Offir Malka (in his sefer Halichot Brachot) agrees with this opinion and concludes that the bracha for Bamba is Shehakol. He summarizes the reasoning into three key points:

- 1. If the new shape no longer resembles in any way the fruit or vegetable it originated from, the bracha changes to Shehakol.
- 2. In this case, the flavor has also changed, and its name is no longer associated with corn—no one refers to it as corn.

The product is transformed into dough, which alters its bracha.

BAMBA BLESSINGS: PUFFED CORN, PUFFED QUESTIONS

Regarding the claim of the Yalkut Yosef that the corn for Bamba is In conclusion, those who follow the opinion of Yalkut Yosef can edible.

grown in a way that isn't edible, Harav Auerbach and Harav El- recite Ha'adama on Bamba. However, since the majority of yashiv (Vezot Habracha page 252) held that it doesn't matter. poskim disagrees with this ruling and hold that the proper bracha They argued that we don't focus on the specific type of corn used, is Shehakol, it is more correct to say Shehakol, especially following but rather on the general type, and the general type of corn is the rule that whenever there is doubt about which bracha to say, we recite Shehakol. Nevertheless, if one recited Ha'adama, even according to this opinion, bedieved (after the fact), the bracha is

Conclusion

A LIVING DEATH: A WARNING FOR GENERATIONS

In this week's parsha (Toldot), we encounter a profound lesson better for a person to lose years from their life than to endure the

that appears both at the beginning and the end of the portion. This repetition emphasizes the importance of adopting the correct mindset and cultivating such outlook on life.

At the beginning of the parsha, we find Yaakov cooking lentils. Rashi (25;30) explains that this was because Avraham Avinu had just passed away, and it is customary for mourners to eat lentils. Rashi further notes that Avraham was originally destined to live another five years but passed away earlier. Why was

this so?

Rashi

anguish of seeing their child—or grandchild—deviate from the right-

At the end of the parasha, we encounter a similar concept when Rivka says to her husband, "I am disgusted with my life because of the daughters of Chet. If Yaakov takes a wife from the daughters of Chet, what is life to me?"(27;46). Rivka's words are not merely an expression of frustration or fear. If they were, the Torah would not have recorded them, as such re-

Mehudar Tefilin & Mezuzot Sell & Check Rabbi Shay Tahan 3476663467

edness. highlights a profound lesson: it is

teaches that Ha- marks would not carry a timeless lesson for future generations. shem took Av- Instead, Rivka's statement conveys a profound and fundamental raham five years message: marrying a child to an unsuitable spouse is worse than early to spare death. Chazal emphasize this idea, teaching that a bad spouse is him the pain of worse than death itself. Rivka's words remind us of the serioushis ness of choosing a spouse for our children. When arranging a shidgrandson Esav's duch, it must not be taken lightly. It is crucial to ensure that the descent into cor- prospective match is a yerei Shamayim with proper hashkafot and ruption and wick- values, as the consequences of an ill-suited marriage can be more This devastating than a living death.

Leyilui Nishmat: Shaaban Ben Nizhaa Moshe Ben Tune

Leyilui Nishmat Tune but Bahiye

Shaare Ezra is a one of a kind, multi-faceted organization that's there for the community. Under the leadership of HaRav Shay Tahan שלים"א. Shaare Ezra feels that proper Halachic guidance should be accessible to everyone, therefore we offer the community the opportunity to call, text, WhatsApp, or e-mail any halachic questions they may have, through the Bet Horaah, where qualified, trained and ordained Rabbis are available to answer your questions in English, Hebrew and Russian. Shaare Ezra is from the community—for the community.

LILUI NISHMAT Tune' bat Bahive. אורלי בת בתיה שרה Manzal Bat Shelomo David ben Zohow Gavrailova Zoya Bat mafrat

REFUAH SHELEMA ESTHER BAT MAZAL אילנה שיראן בת בתיה שרה בתיה שרה בת טובה

MAY HASHEM SEND SPECIAL STRENGTH IN TORAH AND ALL THE BERACHOT TO DAVID AKIVA AND RACHEL LEON.

Lev Mavashev from Alpha Realty Hatzlacha and Parnasa Tova.

Now you can also download our newsletters from the following websites: Shiurenjoyment, Dirshu, Ladaat, Gilyonos, Kol Halashon, Parsha Sheets, Chidush, Shareplus. Prog.